



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,635	06/16/2005	Yoshikazu Takeda	052712	8622
38834 WESTERMA	7590 12/03/2007 N, HATTORI, DANIELS	& ADRIAN LLP	EXAMINER	
1250 CONNE	CTICUT AVENUE, NW	a ribitini, bbi	PHAM, THANHHA S	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/539,635	TAKEDA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thanhha Pham	2813					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Au	ugust 2007.						
	action is non-final.						
· <u>-</u>	, 						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9,12-15,18-20 and 23-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9,12-15,18-20,23 and 24</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
* See the attached detailed Oπice action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/16/05. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							
r aper mo(s/mail bate <u>w rwos</u> .							

... Sinc. 2010

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Applicant's Election dated 8/20/2007.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 25-30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 08/20/2007.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1, 2, 4-9, 12-15, 18-20, 23 and 24 in the reply filed on 08/20/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the standard of unity of invention applied to the present invention because claims 25-30 are related in that the non-uniform quantum dots in a semiconductor multilayered structure. This is not found persuasive because the general limitation of "non-uniform quantum dots in a semiconductor multilayered structure" is not a special technical feature since limitation of "non-uniform quantum dots in a semiconductor multilayered structure" has been conventional well-known in the art. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

10/539,635 Art Unit: 2813

- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 12-15, 18-20 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- With respect to claim 1,
 - line 3, "the structure" lacking antecedent basic should be changed to "the semiconductor multi-layered structure" as consistent claimed language to clarify scope of claim.
 - line 3, "such quantum dots" lacking antecedent basis renders the claim indefinite. It is not clear that "such quantum dots" as cited on line 3 refers back/is the same <u>or</u> is different to "non-uniform quantum dots" as cited on lines 1-
 - 2. Applicant is respectfully suggested to clarify and use consistent claimed language to clarify scope of claim.
 - line 4, "the quantum dots in the layer" lacking antecedent basis renders the claim indefinite. It is not clear that "the quantum dots" and "the layer" respectively refer to which quantum dots and layer. Applicant is respectfully suggested to clarify and use consistent claimed language to clarify scope of claim.
- ▶ With respect to claim 2,
 - line 3, term "it" renders the claim indefinite. It is not clear that "it" represents or stands for which claimed element. Applicant is respectfully

10/539,635 Art Unit: 2813

suggested to clarify and use positive consistent claimed language to clarify scope of claim.

With respect to claim 5,

Line 3, "the quantum dots" lacking antecedent basis should be changed to "the non-uniform quantum dots" to clarify scope of claim.

Lines 5 and 7, term "it" renders the claim indefinite. It is not clear that "it" represents or stands for which claimed element. Applicant is respectfully suggested to clarify and use positive consistent claimed language to clarify scope of claim.

With respect to claim 6,

line 5, "the quantum dots" lacking antecedent basis should be changed to "the non-uniform quantum dots" to clarify scope of claim.

lines 6, 7, 9 and 10, terms "it" render the claim indefinite. It is not clear that "it" represents or stands for which claimed element. Applicant is respectfully suggested to clarify and use positive consistent claimed language to clarify scope of claim.

With respect to claim 8,

line 3, term "it" renders the claim indefinite. It is not clear that "it" represents or stands for which claimed element. Applicant is respectfully suggested to clarify and use positive consistent claimed language to clarify scope of claim.

Application/Control Number:

10/539,635 Art Unit: 2813

line 6, "said quantum dots" lacking antecedent basis should be changed to "said non-uniform quantum dots" to clarify scope of claim.

With respect to claim 9,

line 3, term "it" renders the claim indefinite. It is not clear that "it" represents or stands for which claimed element. Applicant is respectfully suggested to clarify and use positive consistent claimed language to clarify scope of claim.

line 3, it is not clear that "a semiconductor multi-layered structure" as cited on line 3 is the same or different to "a semiconductor multi-layered structure" as cited on lines 1-2.

line 7, "said quantum dots" lacking antecedent basis should be changed to "said non-uniform quantum dots" to clarify scope of claim.

With respect to claim 12,

Line 3, "said quantum dots" lacking antecedent basis should be changed to "said non-uniform quantum dots" to clarify scope of claim.

With respect to claim 13,

line 4, "said quantum dots" lacking antecedent basis should be changed to "said non-uniform quantum dots" to clarify scope of claim.

lines 6 and 8, lines 6, 7, 9 and 10, terms "it" render the claim indefinite. It is not clear that "it" represents or stands for which claimed element. Applicant is respectfully suggested to clarify and use positive consistent claimed language to clarify scope of claim.

Application/Control Number:

10/539,635 Art Unit: 2813

With respect to claim 14,

line 4, "said quantum dots" lacking antecedent basis should be changed to "said non-uniform quantum dots" to clarify scope of claim.

lines 5 and 6, terms "it" render the claim indefinite. It is not clear that "it" represents or stands for which claimed element. Applicant is respectfully suggested to clarify and use positive consistent claimed language to clarify scope of claim.

▶ With respect to claim 15,

line 3, term "it" renders the claim indefinite. It is not clear that "it" represents or stands for which claimed element. Applicant is respectfully suggested to clarify and use positive consistent claimed language to clarify scope of claim.

Line 6, "said quantum dots" lacking antecedent basis should be changed to "said non-uniform quantum dots" to clarify scope of claim.

With respect to claim 18,

line 5, "the quantum dots" lacking antecedent basis should be changed to "the non-uniform quantum dots" to clarify scope of claim.

lines 5, 6, 8 and 9, terms "it" render the claim indefinite. It is not clear that "it" represents or stands for which claimed element. Applicant is respectfully suggested to clarify and use positive consistent claimed language to clarify scope of claim.

With respect to claim 20,

Application/Control Number:

10/539,635 Art Unit: 2813

line 3, term "it" renders the claim indefinite. It is not clear that "it" represents or stands for which claimed element. Applicant is respectfully suggested to clarify and use positive consistent claimed language to clarify scope of claim.

- line 6, "said quantum dots" lacking antecedent basis should be changed to "said non-uniform quantum dots" to clarify scope of claim.
- With respect to claim 23,
 - line 4, "the quantum dots" lacking antecedent basis should be changed to "the non-uniform quantum dots" to clarify scope of claim.

lines 6, 7, 9 and 10, terms "it" render the claim indefinite. It is not clear that "it" represents or stands for which claimed element. Applicant is respectfully suggested to clarify and use positive consistent claimed language to clarify scope of claim.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanhha Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1696. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday 9:00AM - 9:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone

10/539,635 Art Unit: 2813

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TSP

THANHHA S. PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER